

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,430	04/21/2004	Michael Edward Schaffer	MD1080USCNT	8774	
23906	7590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	NT DE NEMOURS AND ( ENT RECORDS CENTER	WHALEY, PABLO S			
	ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1631		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

<del></del>		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/829,430		SCHAFFER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Pablo Whaley		1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 September 200	6.					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for al	-		secution as to the r	nerits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				. •			
8)🖂	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[7]	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	eceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		☐ Notice of Informal Pa☐ Other:	atent Application				
Policy and Testand Office.								

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of SEQ ID NO: 11 in the reply filed on 09/25/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The Examiner wishes to acknowledge and thank the applicant for a timely election of this species. After further consideration, however, it has been determined that instant claims 1-10 do in fact recite distinct species that have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter. Therefore a further supplementary restriction requirement, listed below, is required. The Examiner sincerely apologizes for failing to make this distinction in the previous office action, and for any inconvenience this has caused the applicant. As a secondary issue, the Examiner has also discovered claim informalities, listed below, that should be corrected in order to expedite prosecution.

## OBJECTION

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 9 depends from the method of claim 1 and claim 9. The Examiner believes claim 9 (line 3) should be new claim. Claim 10 depends from the method of claim 10. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Art Unit: 1631

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT

This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The applicant is further required to make the following specie election for purposes of

examination:

Specie A: Species of target nucleic acid molecules cited in claims 6, 7, 9, and 10, which are

directed to pathogenic organisms, specific genes and promoters, transgenes, and viruses, and

thus generally separately classified and published, and thus document undue search burden if

searched together. Thus applicants are required to select a first and second target nucleic acid

molecule from those listed in claims 6, 7, 9, and 10 to begin prosecution.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5 are generic to the above species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or

that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1631

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,430

Art Unit: 1631

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Pablo Whaley whose telephone number is (571)272-4425. The examiner

can normally be reached on 9:30am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached at 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pablo S. Whaley

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1631

Office: 571-272-4425

Loci A Clas. Patent Examines 12/8/06

Page 5